

Apr 27th, 12:00 AM - 12:00 AM

“It’s the Economy, Stupid!” The Impact of the Economy and other External Factors on Presidential Rhetoric

Morgan Dubbs
Susquehanna University

Follow this and additional works at: <https://scholarlycommons.susqu.edu/ssd>



Part of the [American Politics Commons](#)

Dubbs, Morgan, “It’s the Economy, Stupid!” The Impact of the Economy and other External Factors on Presidential Rhetoric” (2021). *Senior Scholars Day*. 14.

<https://scholarlycommons.susqu.edu/ssd/2021/posters/14>

This Event is brought to you for free and open access by Scholarly Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Senior Scholars Day by an authorized administrator of Scholarly Commons. For more information, please contact sieczkiewicz@susqu.edu.



“It’s the Economy, Stupid!”

The Impact of the Economy and other External
Factors on Presidential Rhetoric

MORGAN DUBBS

Background

- ▶ Presidential rhetoric has the capability to influence, motivate many (Albertson & Gadarian 2015)
- ▶ Presidents address 5 main topics in their speeches:
 - ▶ Economy, Environment, Education, Security, and Healthcare (Coe & Reitz 2010)
- ▶ External factors can be influential in determining speech patterns (Social Science Automation, Coe & Reitz 2010)
- ▶ Confident leaders are more effective and, therefore, will be motivated to speak confidently (Folkman 2019)
- ▶ Research Question: What external factors impact the self-confidence within presidential rhetoric?

Competing Theories

- ▶ Differences in rhetoric result from the individual
 - ▶ Personality (Condor et al. 2013)
 - ▶ Role (Kesgin 2013)
- ▶ Differences in rhetoric result from external factors
 - ▶ Environment (Kurtz et al. 2010)
 - ▶ Economy (Virtullo & Johnson 2010)
 - ▶ Education (Kath 2004)
 - ▶ Health (Koch 1998)
 - ▶ Conflict (Kellner 2007, Esch 2010)

Hypotheses

- ▶ H1: *If the United States performs well economically, the rhetoric within State of Union addresses will demonstrate increased levels of self-confidence.*
- ▶ H2: *If the United States is at peace (rather than at war), the rhetoric within State of the Union addresses will demonstrate increased levels of self-confidence.*
- ▶ H3: *If the United States experiences positive health outcomes, the rhetoric within State of Union addresses will demonstrate increased levels of self-confidence.*
- ▶ H4: *If the environmental state of the United States improves, the rhetoric within State of Union addresses will demonstrate increased levels of self-confidence.*
- ▶ H5: *As the level of educational attainment of Americans increases, the rhetoric within State of Union addresses will demonstrate increased levels of self-confidence.*

Methodology

- ▶ Gather State of the Union Addresses from 1961-2020
- ▶ Enter SOTU speeches into text context analysis software
 - ▶ Utilize self-confidence (“SC”) scores → Dependent Variable
- ▶ Gather various data representing each of the external factor categories
- ▶ Conduct various multiple regression analyses
 - ▶ Pair the SC scores with data from the year prior to the SOTU
- ▶ Independent Variables: Public Debt, Change in GDP, Life Expectancy, Death Rate (2 measures), War vs Peace, Carbon Emissions, Educational Attainment (High School Graduates, College Graduates)
- ▶ Control Variables: Identity of the president, age of the president

	Model 1 (Regression Analysis on Index 1)	Model 2 (Regression Analysis on Index 2)	Model 4 (Regression Analysis on Index 4)
War	.110 (.128)	.078 (.155)	.086* (.094)
Unemployment rate	-.015 (.399)	.005 (.656)	
Change in GDP	.015* (.064)	.013 (.104)	.014* (.062)
Public Debt as Percentage of GDP	-.005* (.085)	-.004 (.112)	-.004 (.114)
Death Rate age 5 & Under (per 1000)	-.013 (.657)	-.007 (.743)	
Change in Death Rate	.249*** (.007)	.187** (.013)	.190*** (.005)
Change in Life Expectancy	1.054** (.035)	.571* (.079)	.595** (.024)
CO2 Emissions	-.034 (.244)		
Percentage with High School Diploma	.013 (.241)	.009 (.380)	.011 (.101)
Percentage with College Degree	-.018 (.439)	-.006 (.751)	-.020 (.194)
Constant	1.201 (.436)	.483 (.683)	.215 (.519)
R-Squared	.210	.330	.359

Analysis/Discussion

- ▶ *Economy*: Hypothesis 1 was supported
 - ▶ Except when Trump's cases were included
- ▶ *Conflict*: Self-confidence increases in relation to war
- ▶ *Health*: Death rate and life expectancy were significant across all models
 - ▶ Life expectancy findings consistent with hypothesis 3
 - ▶ Death rate: opposite of what was expected
- ▶ *Environment*: Decreased carbon emissions increase leader's self-confidence
- ▶ *Education*: Increased levels of education's impact: inconclusive
 - ▶ Rise in high school graduation rates: positive relationship
 - ▶ Rise in college graduation rates: negative relationship

Conclusion

- ▶ Only consistently significant indicators: life expectancy and death rate
 - ▶ Yet, death rate's relationship was significant in an unexpected way
- ▶ Change in GDP was a significant indicator, excluding when Trump's cases were included
- ▶ Some external factors influence rhetoric
 - ▶ Contributes to the body of research surrounding external factor's impact on rhetoric
- ▶ Limitation: Data is not available for many assumed indicators

Questions?

Bibliography

- Albertson, Bethany & Shana Kushner Gadarian. (2015). *Anxious Politics: Democratic Citizenship in a Threatening World*. Cambridge University Press.
- Coe, Kevin, and Michael Reitzes. "Obama on the stump: Features and determinants of a rhetorical approach." *Presidential Studies Quarterly* 40, no. 3 (2010): 391-413.
- Esch, J. (2010) Legitimizing the 'war on terror'. *Political Psychology*, 31, 357-391.
- Folkman, Joseph. 2019. "How Self-Confidence Can Help Or Hurt Leaders." *Forbes*. February 12. Accessed October 25, 2020. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/joefolkman/2019/02/12/how-self-confidence-can-help-or-hurt-leaders/#5a5674f25990>.
- Kath, R. "The education of Athens: Politics and rhetoric in Isocrates and Plato." *Isocrates and civic education* (2004): 125.
- Kesgin, Barış. "Leadership traits of Turkey's Islamist and secular prime ministers." *Turkish Studies* 14, no. 1 (2013): 136-157.
- Koch, Jeffrey W. "Political rhetoric and political persuasion: The changing structure of citizens' preferences on health insurance during policy debate." *Public Opinion Quarterly* (1998): 209-229.
- Kurtz, T., Augoustinos, M. and Crabb, S. (2010) Contesting the 'national interest' and maintaining 'our lifestyle': A discursive analysis of political rhetoric around climate change. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, 49, 601-625.
- Social Science Automation, I. (2008). *Profiler Plus*. Columbus, OH.
- Vitullo, Elizabeth, and Jason Johnson. "University presidential rhetoric and the 2008–2009 economic crisis." *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management* 32, no. 5 (2010): 475-485.